

# The McLachlan Code

Peter Diener

Louisiana State University

August 12, 2015  
Einstein Toolkit Workshop 2015  
AlbaNova University Center, Stockholm, Sweden

# Outline

- ▶ The ADM formulation.
- ▶ The BSSN formulation.
- ▶ Kranc.
- ▶ McLachlan.

# The ADM formulation.

The 3+1 ADM evolution equations are

$$\begin{aligned}(\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_\beta) \gamma_{ij} &= -2\alpha K_{ij}, \\(\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_\beta) K_{ij} &= -D_i D_j \alpha + \alpha(R_{ij} + K K_{ij} - 2K_{ik} K^k_j),\end{aligned}$$


with the constraints

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H} &\equiv R + K^2 - K_{ij} K^{ij} = 0, \\ \mathcal{M}^i &\equiv D_j (K^{ij} - \gamma^{ij} K) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

This set of PDE's is only weakly hyperbolic and is therefore not suitable for numerical evolution.

However, they provide a convenient starting point for a more stable formulation: The BSSN (Baumgarte-Shapiro-Shibata-Nakamura)<sup>1</sup> formulation.

---

<sup>1</sup>Should really include Oohara-Kojima and be BSSNOK. 

# The BSSN formulation (new variables).

Introduce a conformal rescaling of the three metric

$$\gamma_{ij} = \psi^4 \tilde{\gamma}_{ij}.$$

We choose  $\psi = \gamma^{1/12}$  such that  $\det(\tilde{\gamma}_{ij}) = 1$

In addition we introduce a trace decomposition of the extrinsic curvature.

$$K = \gamma^{ij} K_{ij},$$
$$A_{ij} = K_{ij} - \frac{1}{3} \gamma_{ij} K.$$

We then promote the following variables to evolution variables

$$\phi = \ln \psi = \frac{1}{12} \ln \gamma, \quad K = \gamma_{ij} K^{ij},$$
$$\tilde{\gamma}_{ij} = e^{-4\phi} \gamma_{ij}, \quad \tilde{A}_{ij} = e^{-4\phi} A_{ij},$$

as well as the conformal connection functions

$$\tilde{\Gamma}^i = \tilde{\gamma}^{jk} \tilde{\Gamma}^i_{jk} = -\partial_j \tilde{\gamma}^{ij}.$$

## The BSSN formulation (evolution equations).

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_t \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} &= -2\alpha \tilde{A}_{ij} + \beta^k \partial_k \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} + \tilde{\gamma}_{ik} \partial_j \beta^k + \tilde{\gamma}_{jk} \partial_i \beta^k - \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} \partial_k \beta^k, \\
 \partial_t \phi &= -\frac{1}{6} \alpha K + \beta^k \partial_k \phi + \frac{1}{6} \partial_k \beta^k, \\
 \partial_t \tilde{A}_{ij} &= e^{-4\phi} [-D_i D_j \alpha + \alpha R_{ij}]^{TF} + \alpha (K \tilde{A}_{ij} - 2 \tilde{A}_{ik} \tilde{A}^k{}_j) \\
 &\quad + \beta^k \partial_k \tilde{A}_{ij} + \tilde{A}_{ik} \partial_j \beta^k + \tilde{A}_{jk} \partial_i \beta^k - \frac{2}{3} \tilde{A}_{ij} \partial_k \beta^k, \\
 \partial_t K &= -D^i D_i \alpha + \alpha (\tilde{A}_{ij} \tilde{A}^{ij} + \frac{1}{3} K^2) + \beta^k \partial_k K, \\
 \partial_t \tilde{\Gamma}^i &= \tilde{\gamma}^{jk} \partial_j \partial_k \beta^i + \frac{1}{3} \tilde{\gamma}^{ij} \partial_j \partial_k \beta^k + \beta^j \partial_j \tilde{\Gamma}^i - \tilde{\Gamma}^j \partial_j \beta^i + \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\Gamma}^i \partial_j \beta^j \\
 &\quad - 2 \tilde{A}^{ij} \partial_j \alpha + 2\alpha (\tilde{\Gamma}^i{}_{jk} \tilde{A}^{jk} + 6 \tilde{A}^{ij} \partial_j \phi - \frac{2}{3} \tilde{\gamma}^{ij} \partial_j K).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here  $R_{ij} = \tilde{R}_{ij} + R_{ij}^\phi$ , where

$$\begin{aligned}
 \tilde{R}_{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\gamma}^{lm} \partial_l \partial_m \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} + \tilde{\gamma}_{k(i} \partial_{j)} \tilde{\Gamma}^k + \tilde{\Gamma}^k \tilde{\Gamma}_{(ij)k} \\
 &\quad + \tilde{\gamma}^{lm} \left( 2 \tilde{\Gamma}^k{}_{l(i} \tilde{\Gamma}_{j)km} + \tilde{\Gamma}^k{}_{im} \tilde{\Gamma}_{klj} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

$$R_{ij}^\phi = -2 \tilde{D}_i \tilde{D}_j \phi - 2 \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} \tilde{D}^k \tilde{D}_k \phi + 4 \tilde{D}_i \phi \tilde{D}_j \phi - 4 \tilde{\gamma}_{ij} \tilde{D}^k \phi \tilde{D}_k \phi.$$

# The BSSN formulation (constraint equations).

The constraints are

$$\tilde{\mathcal{H}} \equiv R + \frac{2}{3}K^2 - \tilde{A}_{ij}\tilde{A}^{ij} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^i \equiv \tilde{D}_j\tilde{A}^{ij} + 6\tilde{A}^{ij}\partial_j\phi - \frac{2}{3}\tilde{\gamma}^{ij}\partial_j K = 0,$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \equiv \tilde{\gamma} - 1 = 0,$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{A}} \equiv \tilde{\gamma}^{ij}\tilde{A}_{ij} = 0,$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^i \equiv \tilde{\Gamma}^i + \partial_j\tilde{\gamma}^{ij} = 0.$$

The constraints  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$  are enforced actively at each time-step.

The other constraints ( $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}^i$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^i$ ) are not enforced.

To improve stability and to help keep  $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^i$  small, the following rule is employed:

- ▶ Where derivatives of  $\tilde{\Gamma}^i$  are needed, the evolved  $\tilde{\Gamma}^i$  are used directly.
- ▶ Where  $\tilde{\Gamma}^i$  are needed without taking derivatives,  $\tilde{\gamma}^{jk}\tilde{\Gamma}^i_{jk}$  are used instead.

# The BSSN formulation (gauge conditions).

1 + log family of lapse conditions.

$$\partial_t \alpha = -F \alpha^N K + \text{alphaDriver}(\alpha - 1) + \text{advectLapse} \beta^i \partial_i \alpha.$$

Harmonic slicing:  $F = 1$ ,  $N = 2$ ,

1 + log slicing:  $F = 2$ ,  $N = 1$ .

There is also a variant using  $A = \partial_t \alpha$  as an evolution variable.

Hyperbolic gamma driver condition:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_t \beta^i &= \text{shiftGammaCoeff} B^i + \text{advectShift} \beta^j \partial_j \beta^i, \\ \partial_t B^i &= \partial_t \tilde{\Gamma}^i - \text{betaDriver} B^i + \text{advectShift} \beta^j \partial_j B^i.\end{aligned}$$

Here  $\text{shiftGammaCoeff} = 3/4$  and  $\text{betaDriver}$  has to be chosen appropriately for the mass of the black holes in the system.

## The BSSN formulation (the $W$ -method).

Instead of using  $\phi = 1/12 \ln \gamma$  as an evolution variable it is also possible to use

$$W = \gamma^{-1/6} = e^{-2\phi}$$

in which case the evolution equation for  $W$  is

$$\partial_t W = \frac{1}{3} W (\alpha K - \partial_i \beta^i) + \beta^i \partial_i W,$$

and the expression for  $R_{ij}^\phi$  is similarly converted to an expression involving derivatives of  $W$ .

We currently do not support the  $\chi$ -method ( $\chi = e^{-4\phi}$ ).



# Kranc.

- ▶ Kranc is a set of mathematica scripts initially developed by Sascha Husa and Christiane Lechner and currently mainly developed by Ian Hinder for converting a set of tensorial evolution equations into Cactus code.
- ▶ It was originally created in order to allow easy experimentation
- ▶ with different formulations of the Einstein equations.
- ▶ Kranc produces a complete Cactus thorn including the configuration files.
- ▶ Kranc provides mathematica routines to define tensors and their properties and how they relate to the Cactus grid functions.
- ▶ Kranc interfaces with MoL and one of it's main functions is to produce the RHS evaluation routine for the evolution equations.
- ▶ In addition there are routines to define Cactus parameters.
- ▶ The user defines "Calculations" to operate on the tensors along with scheduling information.

# McLachlan.

- ▶ McLachlan (named after the Canadian Singer/Songwriter Sarah McLachlan) is an implementation of the BSSN equations in Kranc.
- ▶ Supports any kind of matter through its interface with TmunuBase.
- ▶ The RHS routine is split into smaller pieces to avoid instruction cache misses.
- ▶ Kranc can generate explicitly vectorized versions of the code.
- ▶ McLachlan supports the Llama multi-patch infrastructure.
- ▶ If desired, some parameters can be set at Kranc code generation time for improved optimizations (10–20%).
- ▶ The Kranc script is readable and extensible.
- ▶ McLachlan can also generate the conformal and covariant Z4-formulation (CCZ4).
- ▶ Kranc generates LoopControl loops so McLachlan is OpenMP parallelized by default.

# McLachlan.

- ▶ Erik Schnetter also added support in Kranc for generating an OpenCL version.
- ▶ McLachlan currently needs the `ML_BSSN_Helper` thorn in order to handle Cactus related things that are not yet supported by Kranc itself.
- ▶ McLachlan will be able to run efficiently on GPU's with the development of `Chemora`.
- ▶ Etienne et. al have proposed a set of modifications to the gauge evolution equations that can reduce the constraint violations significantly.
- ▶ The gauge evolution part of the code is kind of messy and could use some cleanup and/or simplification.

- ▶ The full BSSN equation description in Kranc is contained on 278 lines (including comments and empty lines).
- ▶ The total Kranc script is currently 1477 lines.
- ▶ The total number of C++ source lines in the generated code is more than 27,000.

As an example

```
Gt1[1a,1b,1c]  -> (1/2 (+ PD[gt[1b,1a],1c]
                    + PD[gt[1c,1a],1b]
                    - PD[gt[1b,1c],1a]))
Gt[ua,1b,1c]   -> gtu[ua,ud] Gt1[1d,1b,1c]
```

turns into (vectorization turned off, Jacobians turned on)

```
CCTK_REAL Gt1111 = 0.5*JacPDstandardNth1gt11;  
CCTK_REAL Gt1112 = 0.5*JacPDstandardNth2gt11;  
CCTK_REAL Gt1113 = 0.5*JacPDstandardNth3gt11;  
CCTK_REAL Gt1122 = -0.5*JacPDstandardNth1gt22 +  
    JacPDstandardNth2gt12;
```

```
CCTK_REAL Gt111 = Gt1111*gtu11 + Gt1211*gtu12 +  
    Gt1311*gtu13;  
CCTK_REAL Gt211 = Gt1111*gtu12 + Gt1211*gtu22 +  
    Gt1311*gtu23;  
CCTK_REAL Gt311 = Gt1111*gtu13 + Gt1211*gtu23 +  
    Gt1311*gtu33;  
CCTK_REAL Gt112 = Gt1112*gtu11 + Gt1212*gtu12 +  
    Gt1312*gtu13;
```

+ many additional lines of codes for the remaining tensor components.

turns into (vectorization turned on, Jacobians turned on)

```
CCTK_REAL_VEC Gt1111 =  
    kmul(JacPDstandardNth1gt11,ToReal(0.5));  
CCTK_REAL_VEC Gt1112 =  
    kmul(JacPDstandardNth2gt11,ToReal(0.5));  
CCTK_REAL_VEC Gt1113 =  
    kmul(JacPDstandardNth3gt11,ToReal(0.5));  
CCTK_REAL_VEC Gt1122 =  
    kmadd(ToReal(-0.5),JacPDstandardNth1gt22,JacPDstandardNth2gt12);  
  
CCTK_REAL_VEC Gt111 =  
    kmadd(Gt1111,gtu11,kmadd(Gt1211,gtu12,kmul(Gt1311,gtu13)));  
CCTK_REAL_VEC Gt211 =  
    kmadd(Gt1111,gtu12,kmadd(Gt1211,gtu22,kmul(Gt1311,gtu23)));  
CCTK_REAL_VEC Gt311 =  
    kmadd(Gt1111,gtu13,kmadd(Gt1211,gtu23,kmul(Gt1311,gtu33)));  
CCTK_REAL_VEC Gt112 =  
    kmadd(Gt1112,gtu11,kmadd(Gt1212,gtu12,kmul(Gt1312,gtu13)));
```

+ many additional lines of codes for the remaining tensor components.